



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,452	12/17/2001	David C. Pelletier	2169.2001-000	2273

7590 11/16/2005

Leo R. Reynolds, Esq.
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & RENOLDS, P.C.
530 Virginia Road
P.O. Box 9133
Concord, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

SEDIGHIAN, REZA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2633

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,452

Applicant(s)

PELLETIER ET AL.

Examiner

M. R. Sedighian

Art Unit

2633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 September 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2633

1. This communication is responsive to applicant's 9/14/05 amendments. The amendments have been entered. Claims 1-27 are now pending.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (US Patent No: 6,115,159) in view of Kubo Kiyoshi et al. (Japanese Patent No: 57-173237).

Regarding claim 18, Baker teaches a system comprising: a camera-mountable optical transceiver (24, fig. 2) for transmitting a downstream optical signal (OCA, fig. 2) and for receiving an upstream optical signal (OCU, fig. 2); a remote optical transceiver (22, fig. 2) for transmitting the upstream optical signal (OCU, fig. 2) and for receiving the downstream optical signal (OCA, fig. 2); a fiber optic cable (30, fig. 2) coupled between the camera-mountable optical transceiver (24, fig. 2) and the remote transceiver (22, fig. 2) for carrying the downstream (OCA, fig. 2) and upstream (OCU, fig. 2) optical signals (col. 3, lines 25-48). Baker differs from the claimed invention in that Baker does not specifically disclose a connector for coupling the fiber optic cable directly to the transceiver. Kubo Kiyoshi teaches a camera (16, fig. 3) that is coupled to an optical transceiver (24, fig. 3) by an optical fiber (19, fig. 3) through optical connectors (20, 25, fig. 3). As it is taught by Kubo Kiyoshi, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate a connector that couples

Art Unit: 2633

an optical fiber directly to a transceiver, such as connectors 20 or 25 of Kubo Kiyoshi, for connecting the fiber 30 to transceiver unit 22 of Baker in order to provide a proper coupling and a precise transmission of optical signal.

Regarding claim 20, Baker further teaches the camera optical transceiver (24, figs. 2, 3) comprises: a transmitter (118, fig. 3) for coupling between a camera (12, fig. 2) and the fiber cable (30, fig. 2) and adapted for converting an electrical input information signal (CA, figs. 2, 3) received from the camera to the downstream optical signal (col. 3, lines 49-67, col. 4, lines 1-33); and a receiver (122, fig. 3) for coupling between the fiber and the camera and adapted for converting the upstream optical signal to an electric information output signal (col. 4, lines 50-59).

Regarding claim 21, Baker further teaches the electrical information input and output signals include video signals (col. 4, line 58).

Regarding claim 22, Baker further teaches the electrical information input and output signals include audio signals (col. 3, line 20-22).

Regarding claim 23, Baker further teaches the electrical information input and output signals include data signals (col. 4, line 58).

Regarding claim 24, Baker further teaches the remote optical transceiver (22, figs. 2; 4) comprises: a transmitter (218, fig. 4) for coupling between a remote camera control unit (10, fig. 2) and the fiber (30, fig. 2) and adapted for converting an electrical information input signal (CU, figs. 2, 4) received from the remote camera control unit (10, fig. 2) to the upstream optical signal (OCU, figs. 2, 4); and a receiver (222, fig. 4) for coupling between the fiber cable (30, fig. 2) and the camera control unit (10, fig. 2) and adapted for converting the downstream optical signal

received from the fiber to an electrical information output signal (col. 5, lines 53-67, col. 6, lines 1-6).

Regarding claim 25, Baker teaches the optical transceiver (24, fig. 2) include a connector cable (18A, 14B, fig. 2) for electrically connecting the optical transceiver (24, fig. 2) to a camera (12, fig. 2) and wherein the optical transceiver is adapted to select a camera specific data signal type responsive to a connector cable option (col. 3, lines 25-35).

4. Claims 1, 3-14, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desmons (US Patent No: 5,150,442) in view of Kubo Kiyoshi et al. (Japanese Patent No: 57-173237).

Regarding claims 1, 14, and 26-27, Desmons teaches a transceiver for providing an interface between a camera (10, fig. 2) and a fiber optic cable (1, fig. 2), comprising: a transmitter (11, fig. 2) for coupling between the camera and the fiber optic cable (col. 3, lines 7-15, 35-39) and adapted for converting an electrical information input signal (A, fig. 2) received from the camera to an optical output signal (col. 3, lines 40-42); a receiver (13, fig. 2) for coupling between the fiber optic cable and the camera (col. 3, lines 65-68) and adapted for converting an optical input signal received from the fiber cable to an electrical information output signal (col. 3, line 68). Desmons differs from the claimed invention in that Desmons does not specifically disclose a housing for holding the transmitter and the receiver and adapted for mounting to the camera. However, it is well known that electrical or optical components can be housed within a housing for reasons of protection, isolation, and safety. It is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the transmitter and receiver of Desmons

Art Unit: 2633

are housed within a housing, and that the transmitter and receiver are mounted to the camera, in order for the transmitter and receiver to transmit and receive the incoming electrical information signals optically. Desmons further differs from the claimed invention in that Desmons does not specifically disclose a connector for coupling the fiber optic cable directly to the transceiver.

Kubo Kiyoshi teaches a connector (25, fig. 3) that directly couples a fiber optic cable (19, fig. 3) to a transceiver (24, fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate a connector that couples a fiber optic cable to a transceiver, such as the one of Kubo Kiyoshi, in the camera transmission system of Desmons to connect the fiber to the transceiver to provide a proper coupling and a precise transmission of optical signal. Regarding claims 26-27, Desmons teaches the transceiver (11, 13, fig. 2) can be used as an interface between a video production facility (col. 3, lines 10-27) and a fiber optic cable (1, fig. 2), and that is comprised of a transmitter (11, fig. 2) and a multiplexer (16, fig. 2), and a receiver (13, fig. 2) and a demultiplexer (17, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Desmons teaches the electrical information input signal includes a video signal (col. 3, line 17).

Regarding claim 4, Desmons teaches the electrical information input signal includes an audio signal (col. 3, line 19).

Regarding claim 5, Desmons teaches the electrical information input signal includes data signal (col. 3, line 19-22).

Regarding claim 6, Desmons teaches the electrical information output signal includes a video signal (col. 3, line 17).

Regarding claim 7, Desmons teaches the electrical information output signal includes an audio signal (col. 3, line 19).

Regarding claim 8, Desmons teaches the electrical information output signal includes data signal (col. 3, line 19-22).

Regarding claim 9, Desmons further teaches a wave division multiplexer (12, fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 4-11) adapted for coupling the optical output signal from the transmitter (11, fig. 2) to the fiber (1, fig. 1) and for coupling (12, fig. 2) the optical input signal from the fiber to the receiver (13, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 10, Desmons further teaches the electrical information input signal includes plural information signals (CA, fig. 2) received from the camera (col. 3, lines 35-36) and wherein the transmitter includes a multiplexer (16, fig. 2) for multiplexing the plural camera information signals to a multiplexed electrical input signal (col. 3, lines 35-38) and an electro-optical converter (11, fig. 2) for converting the multiplexed electrical input signal to an optical output signal (col. 3, lines 39-43).

Regarding claim 11, Desmons differs from the claimed invention in that Desmons does not specifically disclose the camera information signals comprises an analog information signal and further comprising analog-to-digital converter for converting the analog information signal to a digital signal for input to the multiplexer. However, Desmons teaches the transmission of electrical signals CA, such as video signals, coming from the camera head 10, wherein the signals CA are first digitalized, and serialized in a device S1 (col. 3, lines 35-37). Accordingly, at least one of the transmitted input signals CA can be of an analog input signal that is further digitalized by the device S1 (or by an analog-to-digital converter).

Regarding claim 12, Desmons further teaches the receiver includes an optical to electrical converter (13, fig. 2) that converts the optical input signal to a multiplexed electrical signal (B, fig. 2) and a demultiplexer (17, fig. 2) for demultiplexing the multiplexed electrical signal to plural remote information signals (UC, fig. 2 and col. 3, lines 67-68, col. 4, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 13, Desmons further teaches digital to analog converter circuitry for converting one of the remote information signals to an analog signal (col. 4, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 17, Desmons teaches a receiver (13, fig. 2) for converting an optical input signal to electrical information output signal (B, fig. 2) and wherein the housing is further adapted for holding the receiver (col. 3, lines 67-68, col. 4, lines 1-3).

5. Claims 2 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desmons (US Patent No: 5,150,442) in view of Kubo Kiyoshi et al. (Japanese Patent No: 57-173237) and in further view of Bennett (US Patent No: 5,642,160), or Hurwitz (US Patent No: 5,568,205).

Regarding claims 2 and 15, the modified camera transmission system of Desmons and Kubo Kiyoshi differs from the claimed invention in that Desmons and Kubo Kiyoshi do not disclose a housing that includes a first plate on a first side for mounting the housing to the camera and a second plate on a second side adapted for mounting the housing to a power source. However, it would have been obvious that plates and/or connectors are needed and can be provided to connect the transmitter and the receiver to the camera, and to connect the camera to a power source. For example, Bennett teaches a camera (422, fig. 4) which is mounted to a housing (20, fig. 4) by means of a first plate on a first side (412, fig. 4), and a second plate (422a,

Art Unit: 2633

fig. 4, note that connector 422a can be connected through a plate to camera 422) on a second side adapted for mounting the housing to a power source (col. 4, lines 11-29). Likewise, Hurwitz teaches about a camera mounted wireless audio/video transmitter system (17, 18, 19, 33, 41, fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 11-27), wherein a customized mounting plate is provided (col. 6, lines 15-17) within a transmitter (19, fig. 1) to make a power source (18, fig. 1) integrable with the camera (17, fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to incorporate a first and a second plates, as it is taught by Bennett or Hurwitz, in the camera housing of Desmons modified by Kubo Kiyoshi in order to connect the transceiver module, the camera, and a power source to obtain the power required for transmission.

Regarding claim 16, Hurwitz teaches the power is passed from the power source to the camera through the housing and is tapped off to supply power to the apparatus (col. 6, lines 17-26).

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (US Patent No: 6,115,159) in view of Kubo Kiyoshi et al. (Japanese Patent No: 57-173237) and in further view of Bennett (US Patent No: 5,642,160), or Hurwitz (US Patent No: 5,568,205).

Regarding claim 19, Baker further teaches the transceiver (24, fig. 3) is connected to a power source (132, fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 25-28). As to a housing for the optical transceiver, it is obvious that camera interface unit 24 of Baker can be housed in a housing for reasons of safety, protection, and isolation. The combination of Baker and Kubo Kiyoshi further differs from the claimed invention in that Baker and Kubo Kiyoshi do not disclose a housing that includes a first plate on a first side of the housing for mounting to the camera and a second plate on a second

Art Unit: 2633

side of the housing adapted for mounting to a power source. However, it would have been obvious that plates and/or connectors are needed and can be provided to connect the transceiver, the camera, and a power source. For example, Bennett teaches a camera (422, fig. 4) which is mounted to a housing (20, fig. 4) by means of a first plate on a first side (412, fig. 4) and a second plate (422a, fig. 4, note that connector 422a can be connected through a plate to camera 422) on a second side adapted for mounting the housing to a power source (col. 4, lines 11-29). Likewise, Hurwitz teaches about a camera mounted wireless audio/video transmitter system (17, 18, 19, 33, 41, fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 11-27), wherein a customized mounting plate is provided (col. 6, lines 15-17) within a transmitter (19, fig. 1) to make a power source (18, fig. 1) integrable with the camera (17, fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to incorporate a first and a second plates, as it is taught by Bennett or Hurwitz, for the camera housing in the modified optical transceiver of Baker and Kubo Kiyoshi in order to connect the transceiver module, the camera, and the power source to obtain the power required for transmission.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 14, 18, and 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2633

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3034. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


M. R. SEDIGHIAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER